

**REMARKS**

The objections set forth in paragraph 2 have been corrected.

With respect to the objections set forth in paragraph 4, the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure at 2173.05(b)D expressly sanctions the use of “substantially” as in “substantially the same.” Therefore, reconsideration is requested.

With respect to the rejection of claim 1, it is noted that nothing in Meyer even talks about auto-covariance. Therefore, reconsideration would be appropriate.

Therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100  
Houston, TX 77024  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation